



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,804	10/20/2000	Walter Wesley Howe	98-004CIP	6375

32127 7590 04/10/2003

VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.  
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSON  
600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE  
MAILCODE HQEO3HO1  
IRVING, TX 75038

EXAMINER

ANWAH, OLISA

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2645

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/692,804

Applicant(s)

HOWE, WALTER WESLEY

Examiner

Olisa Anwah

Art Unit

2645

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7,23,34-36 and 42 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-6,8-22,24-33,37-41 and 43-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2645

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

2. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-11, 13, 15, 16, 20-22, 24-29, 31, 33, 37-41, 43, 44 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Wheeler, U.S. Patent No. 5583920 (hereinafter Wheeler).

Regarding claim 1, Wheeler discloses a method for reporting events in a wireless intelligent network, the method comprises the steps of identifying a group associated with a wireless subscriber when an event is detected, determining a directory number associated with the identified group and the detected event, establishing a call between the wireless subscriber and a message node in the network using the determined directory

Art Unit: 2645

number, generating a message by the message node based on the directory number and a profile associated with the identified group and reporting the message to the wireless subscriber (see columns 30 and 31).

Regarding claim 2, see col. 30, lines 15-57.

Regarding claim 3, see col. 30, lines 15-57.

Regarding claim 4, see call blocking, col. 30, lines 24-30.

Regarding claim 5, see col. 30, lines 50-57.

Regarding claim 6, see col. 31, lines 25-33.

Regarding claim 8, see col. 31, lines 45-52.

Regarding claim 10, see col. 15, lines 15-20.

Regarding claim 11, Wheeler discloses a method for reporting events associated with calls requested by wireless subscribers in a wireless intelligent network, wherein the wireless subscribers are members of subscribers groups, the method comprises the steps of associating one or more directory numbers with the events and the subscriber groups; storing, in a message node in the network, messages corresponding to the associated directory numbers, respectively; and establishing call, when the network detects the events, between the message node a subscriber based on the directory numbers, wherein the message node selects one or more messages that are provided to a

Art Unit: 2645

subscriber based on the directory numbers and the associated subscriber group (see columns 30 and 31).

Regarding claim 13, see col. 15, lines 15-20.

Regarding claim 15, Wheeler discloses establishing the calls to the message node (col. 31, lines 44-52) when a signaling node (ISCP) in the network detects the events (col. 30, lines 36-56).

Regarding claim 16, see col. 30, lines 24-57.

Regarding claim 20, Wheeler discloses a method for reporting events in a wireless network comprising a switching node, a location register, and a message node, said method comprises the steps of receiving, at the location register, a request from the switching node for routing a call from a first subscriber to a second subscriber in the wireless network; identifying a group associated with the first subscriber when an event associated with the call is detected; selecting a directory number based on the identified group and the detected events; and sending the selected directory number to the switching node such that the call is established from the first subscriber to the message node to allow the message node to provide to the first subscriber a message that is selected based on the directory number and a profile associated with the identified group (see columns 30 and 31).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claim 21, see col. 31, lines 25-33.

Regarding claim 22, Wheeler discloses a wireless switching node, comprising a memory including a structure for identifying a location register in a wireless network when the switching node receives a request for establishing a call from a first subscriber to a second subscriber in the wireless network, wherein the structure includes a trigger indexed by a variable number of digits in a directory number of the first subscriber; and computer-readable code for establishing the call from the first subscriber to a message node in the wireless network when an event associated with the call is detected; and a processor for executing the computer-readable code (see columns 30 and 31).

Regarding claims 24 and 25, see col. 14, lines 28-50. The trigger is invoked based on a directory number of the first subscriber. Therefore it is inherent that the trigger is invoked based on the area code and office code of the first subscriber.

Regarding claim 26, Wheeler disclose a location register (ISCP 40), comprising a memory including a structure for storing predetermined directory numbers associated with events and groups in a wireless intelligent network, wherein the predetermined directory numbers correspond, respectively, to messages stored in a message node in the wireless intelligent

Art Unit: 2645

network (col. 11, lines 25-39); and computer-readable code for detecting at least one of the events when one of the subscribers requests a call to another one of the subscribers and for identifying a group associated with the subscriber requesting the call (col. 30, lines 35-58) and for selecting one of the stored predetermined directory numbers based on the detected event and the identified group (s10, Figure 5); and a processor for executing the code (42).

Regarding claim 27, Wheeler discloses a message node (IP, Figure 3), comprising:

a storage module (1105A, 1107, 1105B) for storing messages associated, respectively, with predetermined directory numbers that correspond to events in a wireless intelligent network and correspond to groups with profiles associated with wireless subscribers (col. 14, line 50 to col. 15, line 23);

a memory including computer-readable code for selecting one of the messages based on the profiles when the wireless intelligent network detects at least one of the events (s1), establishing a call to one of the predetermined directory numbers, and providing the selected message to a subscriber associated with the one predetermined directory number (s10 and s11); and

a processor for executing the code (IBM RS6000, Figure 3).

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 28, 29 and 31 see col. 15, lines 15-20.

Regarding claim 33, Wheeler discloses a computer-readable medium capable of configuring a computer to perform a method for reporting events in a wireless intelligent network, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for establishing a call from a first subscriber to a second subscriber in the wireless intelligent network (col. 30, lines 24-30);

requesting a route from a location register in the network (col. 30, line 36);

receiving from the location register a directory number (col. 31, lines 1-30);

establishing the call from the first subscriber to a message node in the wireless intelligent network using the received directory number (col. 31, lines 44-52) when an event associated with the call is detected; and providing a message to the first subscriber based on the directory number and a profile associated with the subscriber (s1).

Regarding claim 37, Wheeler discloses a method for reporting events in a wireless intelligent network, the method comprises the steps of identifying a group associated with a wireless subscriber when an event is detected; determining a directory number from a table that includes or more



Art Unit: 2645

predetermined directory numbers indexed by event identifiers that are each associated with an event and group identifiers that are each associated with a subscriber group and reporting to a subscriber attempting to communicate with the wireless subscriber, a message associated with the determined directory number (see columns 30 and 31).

Claim 38 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.

Regarding claims 39,40 and 41 see columns 30 and 31.

Claim 43 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 7.

Claim 44 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8.

Claim 46 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 10.

3. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Gallant, U.S. Patent No. 6259782 (hereinafter Gallant).

Regarding claim 17, Gallant discloses a method for reporting events (voice mail capabilities (col. 7, line 39)) in a wireless intelligent network comprising a switching node (130 and 140) and a message node interconnected by a network (124), the method comprises the steps of:

receiving, at the switching node, a request for establishing a call from a wireline subscriber to a wireless subscriber in the wireless intelligent network (col. 10, lines 1-5);

Art Unit: 2645

identifying a location register in the wireless intelligent network for routing the call, receiving, at the switching node, a directory number from the identified location register (col. 10, lines 5-11);

establishing the call from the wireline subscriber to the message node using the received directory number when an event associated with the call is detected (col. 7, lines 39-40).

Regarding claim 19, see col. 7, lines 1-11.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 14 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler in view of Henderson et al, U.S. Patent No. 6327363 (hereinafter Henderson).

Regarding claim 14, Wheeler as applied in claim 11 does not teach storing the messages in a plurality of predetermined languages. However Henderson teaches a message node in a network

Art Unit: 2645

capable of playing messages in a plurality of predetermined languages (col. 13, lines 37-52). Hence these messages are stored in a plurality of predetermined languages. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wheeler with storing the messages in a plurality of predetermined languages as taught by Henderson. This modification allows the message node to support different languages.

Claim 32 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 14.

6. Claims 9, 30 and 45 rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wheeler in view of Garcia, U.S. Patent No. 6088429 (hereinafter Garcia).

Regarding claim 9, Wheeler does not disclose the reporting step comprises the step of executing the message in a telecommunications device for deaf format. However Garcia discloses a method for reporting events in a network, the method comprises the steps of executing the message in a telecommunications device for deaf format (col. 5, lines 13-21). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wheeler with a method where the message is executed in a telecommunications device for deaf format as taught by Garcia.

Art Unit: 2645

This modification allows for messages to be transmitted in a TDD format.

Claim 30 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 9.

Claim 45 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 30.

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallant in view of Wheeler.

Regarding claim 18, Gallant as disclosed in claim 17 does not disclose terminating the call established from the wireline subscriber to the message node when a request for disconnect is received from the message node. However Wheeler discloses terminating a call established from a wireline subscriber to a message node when a request for disconnect is received from the message node (s11 and s12 from Figure 5). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gallant with terminating the call established from the wireline subscriber to the message node when a request for disconnect is received from the message node as taught by Wheeler. This modification allows for a call to be terminated whenever the IP completes reporting an event.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

8. Regarding claim 1, Applicant argues Wheeler requires that the IP request additional instructions from the ISCP to determine the type of calling processing and therefore Wheeler

Art Unit: 2645

does not teach a directory number associated with an identified group and detected event that is used in the claimed establishing step. However, the additional information the IP receives from the ISCP enables the IP to play the appropriate message. Furthermore each message relates to a different service. Hence there is a table comprising various AIN services and the IP is able to know what message is to be generated by receiving the directory number from the ISCP. Without receiving instructions from the ISCP, the IP would not know which one of the plurality of messages is to be generated. Therefore Wheeler teaches the claimed directory number associated with an identified group and detected event.

Regarding claim 5, Applicant argues Wheeler does not teach retrieving a group identifier associated with the calling wireless subscriber from a subscriber services database in the network. However Wheeler teaches providing different groups of relevant AIN services. Therefore based on a trigger, a subscriber could be identified as one belonging to a group requiring speech recognition service or a group requiring a call blocking service. Therefore Wheeler teaches the claimed limitations of claim 5.

Regarding claim 6, Applicant argues Wheeler fails to teach selecting the directory number from a table that includes one or

Art Unit: 2645

more predetermined directory numbers indexed by event identifiers and group identifiers. Applicant also argues Wheeler does not teach directory numbers. However Wheeler teaches that when a trigger is detected, the SSP is able to determine a calling subscriber belongs to a group requiring a specific AIN service. As explained above, after identifying the specific group that the calling subscriber belongs to, Wheeler discloses the IP receives the directory number from the ISCP and then plays a message to the calling subscriber based on the received instruction.

Regarding claim 22, Applicant argues Wheeler does not teach indexing the triggers. However Wheeler teaches a plurality of different triggers. Not only does Wheeler teach a plurality of triggers but also Wheeler teaches that these triggers can be recognized whenever they occur. Therefore Wheeler teaches the claimed indexing limitation.

#### ***Conclusion***

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS

Art Unit: 2645

of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olisa Anwah whose telephone number is 703-305-4814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8.30 AM to 6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/692,804

Page 15

Art Unit: 2645

O.A.  
Olisa Anwah  
Patent Examiner  
April 7, 2003

FAN TSANG  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Fan Tsang', with a long, sweeping horizontal stroke extending to the right.